

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 1 398 119 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:

17.03.2004 Bulletin 2004/12

(51) Int Cl.⁷: B25F 5/00, G05B 13/02

(21) Application number: 03020171.9

(22) Date of filing: 05.09.2003

(84) Designated Contracting States:

AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR
HU IE IT LI LU MC NL PT RO SE SI SK TR

Designated Extension States:

AL LT LV MK

• Frey, Christan

76131 Karlsruhe (DE)

• Pilletsch, Reinhard

73257 Köngen (DE)

• Kuntze, Helge-Björn

76297 Stutensee (DE)

(30) Priority: 11.09.2002 GB 0220951

(71) Applicant: Black & Decker Inc.

Newark Delaware 19711 (US)

(74) Representative: Bell, Ian Stephen et al

Black & Decker,

210 Bath Road

Slough, Berks SL1 3YD (GB)

(72) Inventors:

• Jacubasch, Andreas

68789 St Leon-Rot (DE)

(54) Safety cut-off for power tool with rotating tool bit

(57) A safety cut-off system for a hand held electrically powered tool with a rotatably driven bit (8) for preventing accidents caused by the bit becoming jammed. The system comprises at least one sensor (56, 58, 60, 62, 64) for detecting an operating condition of the tool and generating a sensor signal representative of the operating condition and a cut-off arrangement (38, 80) for interrupting a rotary drive to the bit from a motor (10). A processing unit (42) which includes a neural network

(50, 80, 81, 82) is responsive to the sensor signal or signals to generate a summed probability signal representing a probability of a blocking event and when the summed probability signal exceeds a pre-set threshold for causing activation of the cut-off arrangement. By utilising neural networks an accurate probability of a blocking event can be generated without having to apply a full and detailed knowledge of the physical properties of the power tool which lead up to a blocking event.




FIG.2

Description

[0001] This invention relates to a safety cut-off system for a hand held electrically powered tool with a rotating bit, which safety cut-off system can predict a blocking event in which the bit becomes stuck in a workpiece. In particular this invention relates to a safety cut-off system for an electrically powered rotary hammer.

[0002] It is a problem with hand held power tools with a rotating bit that during use of the tool the bit can sometimes become stuck in the workpiece in such a way that the bit can no longer rotate relative to the workpiece. In this case, the rotary drive to the bit causes the housing of the tool to rotate with respect to the stationary bit. A user of the tool who is gripping the handles of the tool housing may then be harmed. The detection of such blocking events has to be quick enough that the rotation of the tool housing can be stopped before the housing has rotated through an angle sufficient to harm the user. Also, the detection of these blocking events must be accurate so as to avoid the interruption of the rotary drive to the bit when working becomes difficult, that is false alarms should be avoided.

[0003] This problem has been addressed in US5,584,619 in which at pre-set time intervals the acceleration of the bit is measured and used to forecast the rotation angle of the tool over a predetermined time period. If the rotation angle exceeds a pre-set threshold value then the rotary drive to the bit is interrupted. The same problem has been addressed in US5,914,882 in which a deflection sensor periodically measures certain values which are then processed to determine rotational movement values, such as angular acceleration, angular velocity or deflection angle. The calculated rotational movement values then undergo non-linear processing, in particular using Fuzzy logic, subject to predetermined criteria in order to calculate a probability of accident. If this probability exceeds a predetermined threshold, then the rotary drive to the bit is interrupted. By calculating rotational movement values from one or more measured values this system considers more than an instantaneous picture of the operational condition of the tool at any one time and takes into account also recent behaviour of the tool. However, the above approaches require a full and detailed knowledge of the physical properties of the hammer which lead up to a blocking event.

[0004] The present invention aims to provide an accurate system for discriminating dangerous blocking events from safe operation of a tool with a rotating bit and for quickly interrupting rotary drive to the bit when dangerous blocking events occur and which does not rely on predictions of the physical properties of a hammer which precede a blocking event.

[0005] According to the present invention there is provided a safety cut-off system for a hand held electrically powered tool with a rotatingly driven bit for preventing accidents caused by the bit becoming jammed, said sys-

tem comprising:

at least one sensor for detecting an operating condition of the tool and generating a sensor signal representative of the operating condition;
a cut-off arrangement for interrupting a rotary drive to the bit from a motor;
a processing unit responsive to the sensor signal or signals for generating a summed probability signal representing a probability of a blocking event and when the summed probability signal exceeds a pre-set threshold for causing activation of the cut-off arrangement;

characterised in that:

the processing unit includes a neural network.

[0006] The safety cut-off system utilises neural network technology to identify a blocking event. The sensor signals are processed by a neural network which has previously been trained to recognise sensor signal patterns which lead up to blocking events. This is done by inputting sample sets of sensor signals into the neural network and altering the membership functions applied by the nodes of the neural network until the summed probability signal becomes a reliable representation of the actual probability of a blocking event which it is known is associated with the sets of sensor signals. In this way an accurate probability of a blocking event can be generated without having to apply a full and detailed knowledge of the physical properties of the power tool which lead up to a blocking event.

[0007] The cut-off arrangement may be a mechanical or electromagnetic clutch which interrupts transmission through a drive gear arrangement between the motor and the tool or bit. Alternatively or additionally it may include a motor brake, such as an electronic motor brake for braking the motor.

[0008] The sensor or sensors may include one or more of:

a rotary or a linear accelerometer;
a current sensor for sensing the current drawn by the motor;
a rotation sensor for sensing the rotations per unit time of the motor; or
a torque sensor for sensing the torque between a handle of the hammer and a main housing of the hammer.

[0009] The processing unit may additionally include one or more signal conditioning units for processing the signal from the or one of the sensor(s) to generate a pre-conditioned signal and for inputting the pre-conditioned signal into the neural network. The signal conditioning may comprise filtering to remove noise, threshold evaluation, wavelet analysis or Fourier transform analysis.

[0010] The processing unit may include a neural network for generating a set of probability signals each probability signal comprising a function of the or a plurality of the sensor signal(s); an adder for adding the set of probability signals to generate the summed probability signal; and a comparator for generating a cut-off signal for activating the cut-off arrangement when the summed probability signal exceeds the pre-set threshold.

[0011] In a preferred embodiment the neural network is a fuzzy neural network which processes the sensor signals using fuzzy logic. Then training techniques from neural networks can be used to set the membership functions of a fuzzy logic circuit. This removes the trial and error factor in fuzzy logic and removes the requirement for detailed knowledge of the physical conditions occurring in the power tool before a blocking event occurs. This can enable the use of a more complex fuzzy circuit than would otherwise be achievable. Alternatively, the neural network may be a wavelet neural network in which the or at least one of the sensor signals undergoes a wavelet transformation. The use of a wavelet transform enables the improved extraction of information from the sensor signals.

[0012] According to a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a rotary hammer incorporating the safety cut-off system described above

[0013] According to a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for shutting-off rotary drive to a rotatingly driven bit of a hand held electrically powered tool in order to prevent accidents caused by the bit becoming jammed, said method comprising the steps of:

- detecting one or more operating condition of the tool and generating a sensor signal representative of the or each operating condition;
- generating in response to the sensor signal or signals a summed probability signal representing a probability of a blocking event;
- causing generation of a cut-off signal when the summed probability signal exceeds a pre-set threshold; and
- using the cut-off signal to actuate the shutting-off of rotary drive to the bit, characterised in that

the step of generating a summed probability signal representing a probability of a blocking event utilises neural network processing steps.

[0014] One form of a hand held electrically powered tool having a rotating bit and incorporating a safety cut-off according to the present invention will now be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows a partially cutaway longitudinal cross section through a rotary hammer incorporating a safety cut-off system according to the present

invention;

Figure 2 shows a block diagram of the safety cut-off system used in the hammer of Figure 1;

Figure 3 shows a wavelet transform neural network which can be used as the neural network in Figure 2;

Figure 4A shows a typical input signal $s(t)$ as it varies over time;

Figure 4B shows a Fourier transform of the input signal $s(t)$ in the frequency domain;

Figure 4C shows a wavelet transform of the input signal $s(t)$ in the frequency domain;

Figure 5 shows schematically in more detail a portion of the neural network unit of Figure 3 suitable for processing any one of the five input signals; and Figure 6 shows a portion of the neural network unit of Figure 2 suitable for processing two of the five input signals where a fuzzy-neuro scheme is used.

[0015] Figure 1 shows schematically a rotary hammer having a main housing (2), a rear handle (4) and a tool holder (6) which latter is located at the front end of the hammer. A tool or bit (8) can be non-rotatably and releasably locked within the tool holder so as to reciprocate to a limited extent within the tool holder, as is well known in the art. The hammer is powered by an electric motor (10) which is connected to an external electricity supply and is actuated when a trigger switch (12) mounted adjacent to the rear handle is depressed.

[0016] The armature shaft of the motor (10) rotatingly drives a drive gear (14), which itself drives two driven gears (16, 18) which are each non-rotatably mounted on a respective spindle (20, 22) within the hammer housing (2). The spindle (20) rotatingly drives a crank plate (24), which via an eccentric crank pin (26) reciprocatingly drives a piston (28) via a crank arm (30). The piston is slideably mounted within a hollow spindle (32), which hollow spindle is rotatably mounted via bearings (not-shown) in the main housing (2) of the hammer. A ram (34) is also slideably mounted within the spindle (32) and there is a seal located between the periphery of the ram and the internal surface of the spindle and also between the periphery of piston and the internal surface of the spindle. In this way a closed air cushion is formed within the spindle (32), between the piston (28) and ram (34) during normal operation of the hammer, so that the reciprocation of the piston (28) reciprocatingly drives the ram (34) via the closed air cushion. As the ram (34) reciprocates it repeatedly impacts a beatpiece, which beatpiece transfers these impacts to a tool or bit (8) fitted within the tool holder (6), in a manner well known in the art. The impacts from the tool or bit (8) are transmitted to a workpiece against which the tool or bit (8) is urged. When the tool or bit (8) is removed from the workpiece, the hammer enters its idle mode and the air cushion is vented, as is well known in the art.

[0017] The driven spindle (22) rotatingly drives a first bevel gear (36) via an electromagnetic clutch (38). The

first bevel gear (36) rotatingly drives a second bevel gear (40), which second bevel gear is non-rotatably mounted on the hollow spindle (32). Rotation of the spindle (32) cause the tool holder (6) and thereby a tool or bit (8) mounted within the tool holder to be rotatingly driven.

[0018] Therefore, when the trigger switch (12) of the motor (10) is depressed, the armature shaft rotatingly drives the drive gear (14) which rotatingly drives the driven gears (16, 18) which themselves rotatingly drive respective shafts (20, 22). The drive shaft (20) drives a crank arrangement (24, 26, 30) to reciprocatingly drive the piston (28) within the spindle to thereby cause repeated impacts to be transmitted to the tool or bit (8). Simultaneously, the drive shaft (18) rotatingly drives the spindle (32) via the electromagnetic clutch (38) and bevel gears (36, 40). The spindle (32) rotatingly drives the tool or bit (8), via the tool holder (6) and rotary hammering occurs.

[0019] The hammer shown in Figure 1 also incorporates a safety cut-off system, a block diagram of which is shown in Figure 2, for detecting blocking events when the tool or bit (8) becomes blocked in the workpiece and the hammer housing (2) starts to rotate in the hands of the user. The safety cut-off system comprises a central processing unit (42) comprising signal conditioning units (44, 46, 48), neural network unit (50), adder unit (52) and presettable comparator unit (54). In addition, the safety cut-off system comprises a current sensor (56) for detecting the amount of current drawn by the motor (10); an r.p.m. sensor (58) for detecting the number of rotations per minute of the motor (10); a torque sensor (60) for detecting the torque between the rear handle (4) of the hammer and the main housing (2) of the hammer; a first linear accelerometer (62) for detecting acceleration of the hammer housing (2) in a first direction; and a second linear accelerometer (64) for detecting acceleration of the hammer housing (2) in a second direction, perpendicular to the first. The sensors (56, 58, 60, 62, 64) are connected to the central processing unit (42) and the outputs of each sensor are sampled periodically by the central processing unit (42) with a frequency, of around 1 to 5kHz which is sufficiently high for safe and reliable blocking event detection within 100 to 150ms. Not all of the above sensors are necessary to reliably detect a blocking event and it is possible just to use the signals from the linear accelerometers to detect a blocking event.

[0020] Some of the signals from the sensors will undergo pre-conditioning before being input into the neural network (50). For example, the pre-conditioning may include filtering in order to remove noise, threshold evaluation, wavelet analysis or Fourier transform analysis. As an example, a set of the signals sampled over a preset time period from the current sensor will be input into unit (44) to generate a signal which represents the rate of change of motor current with time, which latter signal is then input into the neural network (50). The signals

from the r.p.m. sensor (58) and torque sensor (60) may undergo pre-filtering to remove excess noise from the signal in respective pre-conditioning units (46, 48) before the conditioned signal is input into the neural network (50). Other signals, for example those from the linear accelerometers (62, 64) may be input directly into the neural network (50) without undergoing any pre-conditioning.

[0021] The neural network (50) is separately trained for each different model of hammer to which it is applied. The neural network (50) used in the hammer of Figure 1 will be trained to associate a set of signals each representing a probability of a blocking event with the input into it from the sensor (56, 58, 60, 62, 64). The set of probability signals (66, 68, 70, 72, 74) are output from the neural network and input into the adder unit (52). In Figure 2 the number of output probability signals (77-74) is equal to the number input sensor signals, but this is not essential.

[0022] Thus, for each predetermined time interval (between successive sampling of the sensors) the neural network (50) receives an input from each sensor (56, 58, 60, 62, 64) (via signal conditioning (44, 46, 48) where used) and generates a set of probability signals. Each probability signal (66-74) represents a probability of blocking event dependent on the input sensor signals and the membership function applied to the input signals by the neural network (50) to generate the output probability signal. Then all the probability signals (66-74) output from neural network (50) are summed by the adder unit (52) to generate an overall probability of a blocking event. This probability is then compared with a preset threshold in comparator unit (54). Then, if the preset threshold is exceeded the comparator unit (50) outputs a blocking signal via relay (78) which causes de-coupling of the electromagnetic clutch (38) to disconnect rotary drive to the hollow spindle (32) and thus to the bit or tool (8) and also causes a unit (80) to cut power to and brake the motor (10).

[0023] As stated above, the neural network (50) has to be trained for each hammer type on which it is deployed. This is because the detection system according to the present invention is signal based in that a plurality of separate signals characteristic of the hammer's operating conditions are sensed and the neural network (50) is responsive to the input signals which it non-linearly processes. The system according to the present invention is different from the safety cut-off systems known in the prior art and discussed above because it is not based on a physical model of the circumstances that precede a blocking event.

[0024] The neural network (50) may be, for example, a wavelet neural network or a Neuro-Fuzzy neural network.

[0025] In a Fuzzy-neural network of the type shown in Figure 6, training of the network is required in order to determine the membership functions to be applied to the input sensor signals in order to generate the set of

probability signals output from the network. The membership functions will vary for different models of tool. The result of the training will be a membership function associated with each probability signal output. The set of probability signals derived from the membership functions will then undergo addition, probably a weighted addition, in the adder unit (52) to generate an overall blocking function. After training, the system corresponds to a simple fuzzy system. The employment of learning strategies from neural network theory is used here to support and accelerate the development of a fuzzy logic system, ie. without the use of neural network theory, the membership functions would have to be derived by trial and error. Fuzzy logic provides the possibility of describing knowledge by linguistic rules like:

*If feature l is small and feature n is big
Then process phase is.....*

[0026] Several neuro-fuzzy concepts have been described in the literature, in the present system the NEFCLASS (Neuro Fuzzy CLASSification) approach proposed by Nauck et al is followed. The NEFCLASS model provides a neuro-fuzzy classification approach derived from the generic fuzzy perceptron. The structure of the model is shown in Figure 6 which shows a neural network similar to that of Figure 2 but for processing two instead of the five input signals and for generating two probability signal outputs. The neuro-fuzzy model is characterised by a three layer topology. The input nodes l in the input layer are connected by fuzzy sets μ with the rule nodes R in the hidden layer. For semantical reasons each rule node R is assigned to a single output node C in the output layer, in order to avoid weighted rules, thus weights are fixed to 1. Weighting can be achieved, if required, by performing a weighted addition of the output probability signals at the adder unit (52). To obtain an optimal classification result, ie an optimal identification of a blocking event, the learning algorithm creates rules and adjusts the fuzzy sets from training examples.

[0027] For initialisation the user has to define the initial fuzzy sets for partitioning the domains of the several inputs and the maximum number of rules that may be created in the hidden layer. After training the system corresponds to a simple fuzzy system and the classification knowledge can then easily be accessed and extended by the user.

[0028] The neural network (50) of Figure 2, may alternatively be a wavelet neural network of the type shown in Figure 3, which includes a wavelet transformation unit (80) and a neural network unit (82). A set of sampled signals from each sensor over a preset time interval will be input into the transformation unit (80). For example a set of sampled signals from one of the sensors could be in the form of the attached Figure 4a. Each signal input into the transformation unit (80) will be transformed using a linear one-dimensional wavelet transform, of the

form set out below, into the signal form shown in Figure 4c. A wavelet function will generally have the form:

$$H_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} h\left[\frac{t-b}{a}\right]$$

and the linear one-dimensional wavelet transform will have the form:

$$W(a,b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int s(t) h_{a,b}^* \left[\frac{t-b}{a} \right] dt$$

[0029] The variable a represents the scale parameter and b the shift parameter of the wavelet function and h^* represents the complex conjugate of h. The weighting factor $1/a^{1/2}$ normalises the wavelet for all values of the scale parameter to a constant energy. In contrast to the Fourier transform, which breaks up the signal s into sine and cosine functions of different frequencies, the wavelet transform uses time limited analysing functions localised in time and frequency by the shift and scale parameters respectively. Wavelet analysing functions h have to satisfy several constraints, which are explained in detail in the literature. Frequently used wavelet functions are modulated windows, i.e. they are composed as a product of two functions, such as an oscillating term that is modulated by a Gaussian function. A typical example of a wavelet function is the real Morlet wavelet:

$$H_{a,b}(t) = \cos\left[\omega_0 \frac{t-b}{a}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right)^2\right]$$

[0030] Depending on the scale parameter a, the wavelet function is a dilated low frequency function, whereas for small values of a the wavelet function is constricted, which yields to a high frequency function. Due to the time limited analysing function the wavelet transform is capable of simultaneously providing time and frequency information of a given signal.

[0031] As an example in Figure 4a, the given nonstationary signal s(t) is characterised by two different frequencies at different time intervals. With the Fourier transform as shown in Figure 4b, both frequencies can be determined but the information is lost at which time instant the different frequencies occur is lost. On the other hand the wavelet transform provides a time-frequency map of the analysed signal, as shown in Figure 4c, enabling the extraction of frequency features which vary with time. This attribute makes the wavelet transform an

ideal tool for feature extraction of nonstationary signals.

[0032] The concept of wavelet neural networks (WNNs) for classification combines the aspects of the wavelet transform for the purpose of efficient frequency feature extraction with the decision capabilities of neural network approaches. A WNN can be described as an expanded perceptron with so-called wavelet nodes as a frequency domain feature extraction processing unit. A portion of the wavelet neural network of Figure 3 associated with one of the five input signals is shown schematically in more detail in Figure 5.

[0033] The portion of the wavelet layer (80) is composed of wavelet nodes (W) for preprocessing the input signal $s(t)$ and extracting features which are passed to a multilayer perceptron (MLP) (81) which is forms the first processing stage of the neural network (82) of Figure 3. The wavelet nodes (W) apply to the input signal $s(t)$ shifted and modulated versions of a mother wavelet function. The k th wavelet node is described by the shift parameter b_k and the scale parameter a_k , corresponding to the parameters of the wavelet transform. Formally, each wavelet node's output is the inner product of the wavelet function h_k and the input signal $s(t)$. Assuming only real valued wavelets, the output o of the k th wavelet node is calculated as follows:

$$o_k(a,b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t) h_k \left[\frac{t-b_k}{a} \right] dt$$

[0034] The output of the wavelet node can be interpreted as the correlation between the modified wavelet h_k and the input signal s . The MLP (81) represents the classification part, which bases the classification decision on the wavelet nodes' outputs. The neurons (L) in the perceptron layers are interconnected by directed and weighted links. A neuron's output is processed by all neurons in the succeeding layer and its inputs are the weighted outputs of the neurons in the preceding layer. A neuron's output o_m is defined by the weighted sum of the k outputs $o_{n,k}$ of the previous layer n and its activation function. In the diagnosis scheme used here, sigmoid activation functions are used. An output of a neuron in the layer m is calculated as follows:

$$o_m = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left[- \sum_k w_{k,m} o_{n,k} \right]}$$

[0035] During the training phase the training patterns s_j are repeatedly propagated throughout the network and the parameters are adjusted to minimise the least-square error E of the distance between the desired out-

put d and the network output O_{net} , as follows:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j (d_j - o_{net})^2 = \min$$

[0036] The minimisation problem is solved by an iterative gradient technique, the so-called generalised delta-rule. By backpropagation (BP) of the output error the parameters of the neural network are adjusted by calculating the partial derivatives of the error with respect to the parameters of the network, ie. the weights w_{ij} , the shifts b_k and the scales a_k . For a complete derivation of the learning algorithm, several approaches have been presented in the literature.

[0037] The use of a wavelet transformation enables time frequency representations of input signals to be derived which enable the extraction of features of the input signal that vary with time. The signals derived from this first stage of processing are then input into the neural network (82) which is arranged in a conventional way. Again the neural network (82) would be trained for each model of hammer on which the wavelet neural network is deployed and the neural network (82) would be different for different models of hammer.

Claims

1. A safety cut-off system for a hand held electrically powered tool with a rotatingly driven bit (8) for preventing accidents caused by the bit becoming jammed, said system comprising:

at least one sensor (56, 58, 60, 62, 64) for detecting an operating condition of the tool and generating a sensor signal representative of the operating condition;

a cut-off arrangement (38, 80) for interrupting a rotary drive to the bit from a motor (10);

a processing unit (42) responsive to the sensor signal or signals for generating a summed probability signal representing a probability of a blocking event and when the summed probability signal exceeds a preset threshold for causing activation of the cut-off arrangement;

characterised in that:

the processing unit includes a neural network (50, 80, 81, 82).

2. A system according to claim 1 wherein the cut-off arrangement is a clutch (38) which interrupts transmission through a drive gear arrangement (18, 36) between the motor (10) and the bit (8).

3. A system according to claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the cut-off arrangement comprises a motor brake (80) for braking the motor (10).
4. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the or one of the sensors is a rotary or a linear accelerometer (62, 64).
5. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the or one of the sensors is a rotation sensor (58) for sensing the rotations per unit time of the motor.
6. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the or one of the sensors is a torque sensor (60) for sensing the torque between a handle (4) of the hammer and a main housing (2) of the hammer.
7. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the or one of the sensors is a current sensor (56) for sensing the current drawn by the motor (10).
8. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the processing unit additionally includes one or more signal conditioning units (44, 46, 48) for processing the signal from a corresponding sensor (56, 58, 60) to generate a pre-conditioned signal and for inputting the pre-conditioned signal into the neural network (50).
9. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the threshold is adjustable.
10. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the processing unit includes:
 - a neural network (50, 80, 81, 82) for generating a set of probability signals each probability signal comprising a function of the or a plurality of the sensor signals;
 - an adder (52) for adding the set of probability signals to generate the summed probability signal; and
 - a comparator (54) for generating a cut-off signal (78) for activating the cut-off arrangement when the summed probability signal exceeds the pre-set threshold.
11. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the neural network is a fuzzy neural network which processes the sensor signals using fuzzy logic.
12. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the neural network is a wavelet neural network (80, 81, 82) in which the or at least one of the sensor signals undergoes a wavelet transformation.
13. A rotary hammer having a safety cut-off system according to any one of the preceding claims.
14. A method for shutting-off rotary drive to a rotatingly driven bit (8) of a hand held electrically powered tool in order to prevent accidents caused by the bit becoming jammed, said method comprising the steps of:
 - detecting one or more operating conditions of the tool and generating a sensor signal representative of the or each operating condition;
 - generating in response to the sensor signal or signals a summed probability signal representing a probability of a blocking event;
 - causing generation of a cut-off signal (78) when the summed probability signal exceeds a pre-set threshold; and
 - using the cut-off signal to actuate the shutting-off of rotary drive to the bit, characterised in that
- the step of generating a summed probability signal utilises neural network processing steps.
15. A method according to claim 14 comprising the additional step of processing the one or more of the sensor signal to generate a pre-conditioned signal before the step of generating the summed probability signal.
16. A method according to any one of claims 14 or 15 wherein the threshold is adjustable.
17. A method according to any one of claims 14 to 16 wherein the generation of the summed probability signal comprises the steps of:
 - generating a set of probability signals using neural network processing steps each probability signal comprising a function of the or a plurality of the sensor signals; and
 - adding the set of probability signals to generate the summed probability signal.
18. A method according to any one of claims 14 to 17 wherein the neural network processing steps processes the sensor signals using fuzzy logic.
19. A method according to any one of claims 14 to 17 wherein the neural network processing steps include applying a wavelet transformation to the or at least one of the sensor signals.






FIG.2










FIG. 4C





European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 03 02 0171

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
Y,D	US 5 914 882 A (YEGHIAZARIANS VAHEH KHACHATOURE) 22 June 1999 (1999-06-22) * column 4, line 20 - column 5, line 24; figure 1 *	1-19	B25F5/00 G05B13/02
Y	US 5 073 867 A (MURPHY JOHN H ET AL) 17 December 1991 (1991-12-17) * column 1, line 68 - column 2, line 56; figure 2 *	1-19	
A	US 6 175 787 B1 (BREED DAVID S) 16 January 2001 (2001-01-16) * column 9, line 13 - column 10, line 65; claims 1,5; figures 2,3,5 *	1,12,14, 15,19	
A	US 6 330 553 B1 (FURUHASHI TAKESHI ET AL) 11 December 2001 (2001-12-11) * column 1, line 1 - column 3, line 24; figure 20 *	11,18	
A,D	US 5 584 619 A (GUZZELLA LINO) 17 December 1996 (1996-12-17) * column 2, line 10 - column 3, line 16; figure 1 *		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7) B25F G05B
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 12 December 2003	Examiner Do Huu Duc, J
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			

EPO FORM 1503 03/02 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 03 02 0171

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

12-12-2003

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5914882	A	22-06-1999	DE 19641618 A1 JP 10161701 A	30-04-1998 19-06-1998
US 5073867	A	17-12-1991	US 5077677 A DE 4018779 A1 JP 3125257 A DE 4016113 A1 JP 3024625 A US 5058034 A US 5103405 A	31-12-1991 11-04-1991 28-05-1991 13-12-1990 01-02-1991 15-10-1991 07-04-1992
US 6175787	B1	16-01-2001	US 5809437 A US 2002101067 A1 US 2002188392 A1 US 2003009270 A1 US 2003176959 A1 US 2003155753 A1 US 6326704 B1 US 2001002451 A1 US 2001015548 A1 US 2001048215 A1 US 2002027346 A1	15-09-1998 01-08-2002 12-12-2002 09-01-2003 18-09-2003 21-08-2003 04-12-2001 31-05-2001 23-08-2001 06-12-2001 07-03-2002
US 6330553	B1	11-12-2001	JP 10340258 A	22-12-1998
US 5584619	A	17-12-1996	DE 4344817 A1 AT 154537 T CN 1111334 A ,B DE 59403183 D1 EP 0666148 A1 JP 7253192 A ZA 9410334 A	29-06-1995 15-07-1997 08-11-1995 24-07-1997 09-08-1995 03-10-1995 01-09-1995

EPO FORM P-59

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82